

Long-Term Effects of Cover Crops on Crop Yields, Soil Organic Carbon Stocks and Sequestration

Kenneth Olson¹, Stephen A. Ebelhar², James M. Lang¹

¹Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, S-224 Turner Hall, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, USA

²Department of Crop Sciences, Dixon Springs Agricultural Center, Simpson, Illinois, USA
Email: krolson@illinois.edu

Received 8 July 2014; revised 9 August 2014; accepted 17 August 2014

Copyright © 2014 by authors and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

A 12-year cover crops study on the effects on SOC sequestration, storage, retention and loss and corn and soybean yields was conducted in southern Illinois. The use of cover crops for the maintenance and restoration of soil organic carbon (SOC) and soil productivity of previously eroded soils were evaluated. No-till (NT), chisel plow (CP), and moldboard plow (MP) treatment plots with and without cover crops were established in 2001. The plot area was on sloping with a moderately well drained, eroded soil. The average annual corn and soybean yields were statistically the same for NT, CP, and MP systems with and without cover crops. By 2012, the cover crop treatments had more SOC stock than that without cover crops for the same soil layer and tillage treatment. The NT, CP, and MP treatments all sequestered SOC with cover crops. A pre-treatment SOC stock baseline for rooting zone was used to validate the finding that cover crops sequestered SOC in the topsoil, subsoil and root zone of the NT, CP and MP treatments during the 12-year study. Additional sequestered SOC was lost as a result of being transported off of the plots and retained in lower slopes, transported to the stream or released to atmosphere.

Keywords

Soil Organic Carbon, Soil Erosion, Soil Loss, Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration

1. Introduction

Cover crops have been proposed in the US to increase SOC stock of eroded and degraded soils and to sequester

SOC. The use of cover crops in intensive row crop rotations with different tillage treatments has been found to sequester SOC [1]. Chappell *et al.* [2] found that measuring SOC stocks over an entire carbon estimation area (CEA) over a period of time was a prerequisite to demonstrating that SOC has been stored in the CEA. Only by measuring the impact of soil erosion, transport and deposition can the true impact of management practice on SOC be quantified. Where net removal of SOC by soil erosion exceeds net storage due to management, no measured gain in SOC would be measured even when management practices proving to enhance SOC are implemented [2]. Quantifying SOC movement due to erosion will therefore avoid mistaken assessments of the performance of management practice. It will also encourage adoption of the management practices that store SOC to be consistent with approaches to soil conservation.

Cover crops are grown to protect the soil from erosion and nutrient loss by either leaching or runoff [3]. Cover crops have been shown to reduce off-site sediment transport [4] [5]. Decline of SOC in agricultural systems and increased awareness of its importance to global C budgets have accelerated evaluations of land management impacts on soil C dynamics and storage [6].

The impact of tillage and cover crops on SOC sequestration (net increase) or loss has been the focus of many studies since these management techniques contribute to atmospheric C loss or sequestration. Olson *et al.* [1] found cover crops did not affect crop yields but did increase SOC stocks in the root zone for all tillage treatments. In an attempt to further document the long-term impacts of cover crops this study was extended for 4 additional years. The objectives of the second phase were to evaluate the long-term effects of: 1) cover crops on SOC sequestration rate as a result of 12-year of cover crops on NT, CP, and MP tillage systems, 2) the effects of cover crops on long-term corn and soybean yields, and 3) the effect of cover crops on maintenance and restoration of SOC stock and soil productivity of previously eroded soils in southern Illinois.

2. Materials and Methods

A cover crop experiment was established in 2001 at the Dixon Springs Agricultural Research Center in southern Illinois. The soil at the study site was a moderately eroded phase of Grantsburg silt loam (fine-silty, mixed, mesic Typic Fragiudalf) [7] with an average depth of 64 cm to a root-restricting fragipan. The area with an average slope of 6 percent had been in a tillage experiment for 12 years prior to the start of this 2nd phase of the experiment in 2001. Three tillage treatments, NT, CP, and MP were divided and half with cover crops and half without. Starting with corn in 2001, corn and soybean were grown in alternate years. The experimental design was two Complete Latin Squares and each square having three rows and three columns [8] that allowed for randomization of the NT, CP, and MP with 6 replications treatments both by block (row) and by column (Figure 1). Random variability in both directions was controlled by this replication. Each tillage treatment was randomized six times in 18 plots with a size of 9 m × 12 m and the plots were half of each plot was with or without cover crops. The columns were separated with 6 m buffer strips of sod. There was a 60 m wide filter strip between the plot area and the drainage way.

In the spring of each year the MP and CP plots were moldboard and chisel plowed followed by disking twice and planting. In even numbered years soybean was planted at 432,000 seeds·ha⁻¹ with no fertilizer. In odd numbered years corn was planted at the seeding rate of 64,000 seeds·ha⁻¹ with fertilizers of 218 kg·ha⁻¹ N, 55 kg·ha⁻¹ P, and 232 kg·ha⁻¹ K. Chemical weed control practices were utilized during the study.

The cover crops used were hairy vetch and cereal rye. After soybean harvest the hairy vetch was seeded in the fall and was burned in the following spring just before planting corn. The cereal rye was seeded in the fall after corn harvest and burned in the spring before planting soybeans. These cover crops reduced soil erosion and helped retain the SOC rich sediment in the plot area and contributed to the biomass (tops and roots) returned to the soils and both contributed to increased net SOC stocks. The plot area is located in the southern tip of Illinois with a longer growing season than central or northern Illinois which made it easier to establish the hairy vetch and cereal rye and create more biomass before the cover crops were chemically burned in the spring to return residue and roots to the soil.

Olson [9] and Olson *et al.* [10] recommended the SOC sequestration protocol used to determine SOC sequestration amount and rate. A line-transect method [11] was used to determine the percentage covered by surface residue. The soil loss rates were calculated using and RUSLE 2 [12] and USLE [13]. Plant population for the center 0.001 ha of each plot was determined by counting at 25 days after planting. As part of this study the corn and soybean yield and plant population data from 2002 to 2012 were collected.




Figure 1. Experimental design used at Dixon Springs with 6 replications of 3 tillage systems. The 18 plots were split at random with half of each planted to cover crops and half without cover crops.

2.1. Field and Laboratory Methods

Soil samples were collected in August of 2000 (the year before the cover crops were established) and in June of 2012 at depths of 0 to 5, 5 to 15, 15 to 30, 30 to 45, 45 to 60, and 60 to 75 cm for SOC determination. These sample layers were combined to represent the topsoil (0 - 15 cm) the subsoil (15 - 75 cm) and root zone (0 - 75 cm). The sampling depth was limited due to the presence of a root restricting fragipan at a 75 cm depth. Previous soil sampling found only trace amounts of SOC present below the 75 cm depth, probably from previous grass roots penetrating the fragipan along the prism faces. Four soil cores, one from near each of the four corners of the plot (1.5 m from adjacent, above or below plot, and 1.5 m from border strip), were obtained for each depth and composited by crumbling and mixing. The samples were air-dried and pulverized to pass through a 2-mm sieve prior to analysis. Field moist core bulk density was determined [14] using a Model 200 soil core sampler manufactured by Soil Moisture Equipment Corp. The SOC stock was determined after removal of undecomposed plant residue using the modified acid-dichromate organic carbon procedure 6A1 [15].

2.2. Statistical Methods

Statistical analyses for all parameters were performed using the procedures from Statistical Analysis System (SAS) computer software [16]. Analysis of variance and least square means of crop yield, plant population, SOC and bulk density variables were performed by General Linear Model (GLM) procedures. An LSD procedure was used at the $P = 0.05$ level to determine if there were significant SOC differences between cover crop treatments on each tillage system for the same date and depth.

3. Results

On Grantsburg soil with 5 - 7 percent slopes, the estimated annual soil loss (**Table 1**), measured with USLE and RUSLE2, were 8.1, 22.4, and 30.1 $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ with the NT, CP and MP systems without cover crops and 6.2, 18.6, and 24.3 $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ with cover crops respectively. The higher the percentage of crop residue (**Table 1**) on the soil surface with the NT system protected the soil from erosion keeping it below the tolerance level of 8.4 $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$. Rill erosion was observed with the MP and CP systems with and without cover crops due in part to lower residue on soil surface compared with the NT system with or without cover crop. The amount of SOC transported per year from the plots by soil erosion is provided by tillage treatment with and without cover crops.

The SOC contents of the Grantsburg of the tillage plots are provided in **Table 2**. To establish the baseline

Table 1. Effects of cover crops on tillage system residues after planting and soil loss at Dixon Springs. The residue in even years was from previous year corn and in odd years was from soybean.

Tillage ^{##}	Cover	2004	2005	2006	2007	2011	2012	Soil loss [#]	SOC loss [#]
Residue present from previous crop (%) cover								Mg/ha	Mg/ha/yr
Cover crop effect by tillage treatment									
NT	Yes	95a	88a	95a	84a	76a	91a	6.2a	0.10a
NT	No	92a [*]	85a	90a	78a	72a	85a	8.1a	0.13a
CP	Yes	29a	24a	30a	25a	23a	31a	18.6a	0.30a
CP	No	23a	18a	24a	21a	17a	26a	22.4a	0.37a
MP	Yes	14a	10a	12a	15a	8a	11a	24.3a	0.40a
MP	No	9a	5a	8a	10a	4a	7a	30.1a	0.50a

^{*}For each tillage treatment and year, means with and without cover crop means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the P = 0.05 probability level. [#]Soil loss is calculated by Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and RUSLE2. SOC content assumes the SOC in the sediment is equal to the SOC content of the topsoil. ^{##}NT = no-tillage; CP = chisel plow; MP = moldboard plow.

Table 2. Use of pre-treatment 2000 SOC stock values for each plot as baseline to determine the total SOC change in 12-year of cover crops for the Grantsburg soils.

Cover crop by tillage treatment	Depth	September 2000	June 2012	12-year tillage effect on	12-year tillage effect	12-year tillage
		SOC stock	SOC stock	SOC total change by	on SOC total change	effect on SOC
	cm	Mg C/ha/layer	Mg C/ha/layer	treatment using 2000	by treatment using	rate of change
				baseline	2000 baseline2	
				Mg C/ha/layer	% change	Mg C/ha/layer/yr
No-tillage (NT)						
Yes	0 - 15	26.8a ^{**}	31.7a ^{**}	5	19	0.42
	15 - 75	20.2a	29.5a	9.3	46	0.78
	0 - 75 (all)	47.0a	61.1a	14.3	30	1.21
No	0 - 15	26.8a ^{**}	26.3b ^{**}	-0.5	-2	-0.04
	15 - 75	20.2a	24.6b	4.4	22	0.36
	0 - 75 (all)	47.0a	50.9b	3.9	8	0.33
C grain from cover crop	0 - 15		5.4			0.46
	15 - 75		4.9			0.32
	0 - 75 (all)		10.2			0.88
Chisel plow (CP)						
Yes	0 - 15	25.0a	23.7a	-1.3	-5	-0.11
	15 - 75	18.7a	24.2a	5.5	29	0.46
	0 - 75 (all)	43.7a	47.9a	4.2	10	0.35
No	0 - 15	25.0a	20.3b	-4.7	-19	-0.39
	15 - 75	18.7a	21.7b	3	16	0.25
	0 - 75 (all)	43.7a	42.0b	-1.7	-4	-0.14
C grain from cover crop	0 - 15		3.4			0.28
	15 - 75		2.5			0.21
	0 - 75 (all)		5.9			0.49
Moldboard plow (MP)						
Yes	0 - 15	19.9a	18.9a	-1	-5	-0.08
	15 - 75	17.8a	25.4a	7.6	36	0.63
	0 - 75 (all)	37.7a	44.3a	6.6	14	0.55
No	0 - 15	19.9a	18.9a			-0.09
	15 - 75	17.8a	24.3a			0.54
	0 - 75 (all)	37.7a	43.2a			0.45
C grain from cover crop	0 - 15		0			0.01
	15 - 75		1.1			0.09
	0 - 75 (all)		1.2			0.1

^{*}Mean of three replications with the same letter and in the same year, same tillage treatment and depth with and without cover crops are significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

SOC stock values for the root zone of the plot area, measurements were taken in the fall of 2000 from the proposed tillage treatment plot area and prior to the application of the split plot cover crop treatment. Using the proposed experimental design the SOC values shown in **Table 2** by treatment for September 2000 represent the mean values of the proposed locations of the 6 NT, 6 CP, and 6 MP plots. The SOC was initially determined by volume ($\text{Mg}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}$) for the 0 to 5, 5 to 15, 15 to 30, 30 to 45, 45 to 60, and 60 to 75 cm layers and combined into 0 - 15 cm (topsoil), 15 - 75 cm (subsoil) and root zone (0 - 75 cm) layers. This SOC stock was reported in **Table 2**. There were differences between tillage treatments in mean SOC stocks (**Table 2**) for topsoil, subsoil and root zone (above fragipan) in year 2000. The SOC stock of the combined layers (0 - 75 cm) of MP treatment was significantly lower ($37.7 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}$) than the NT ($47.0 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}$) and CP ($43.7 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}$) treatments at start of study. **Table 2** shows the SOC stock for the 3 soil layers of the cover crop experiment in year 2012. Significant SOC stock differences between plots with and without a cover crop (**Table 2**, column 6) for NT and CP for the 0 to 75 cm layer were noted. In the MP treatment the SOC of the combined 0 - 75 cm with and without cover crops was not significantly different. By June of 2012, the cover crop effect for NT resulted in the SOC stock being greater in the 0 - 15, 15 - 75, and 0 - 75 cm layers (**Table 2**, column 6) when compared to NT plots without cover crops.

It should be noted that for the NT treatment with cover crops that the SOC stock were higher (47.0 vs. $61.1 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}$) after 12-year of cover crop treatment than prior to start of cover crop experiment (year 2000). This suggests that SOC stock losses from water erosion and some disturbance or mixing during NT planting, aeration, nitrogen injection disturbance in corn years and mineralization were less than the SOC gain from the cover crop treatment. The NT system with cover crops did maintained SOC levels and did sequester (increase) SOC (when compared to pre-treatment baseline SOC stock in 2000). For the CP treatment with the cover crops the gain in SOC in the 0 - 15 cm layer (**Table 2**, columns 5 and 6) was offset by SOC stock loss resulting from years of tillage and erosion. The CP treatment root zone (0 - 75 cm) with cover crop SOC increased from 43.7 to $47.9 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}$ while the MP with cover crops for twelve years had the SOC stock for the 0 - 75 cm layer increased from 37.7 to $44.3 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}$. For the CP and MP treatments, cover crops helped reduce the rate of SOC stock loss as a result of tillage (aeration) associated with corn and soybean production and soil erosion and was able to maintain the 2000 baseline SOC stock levels from before the cover crop treatments were applied.

By 2012, the CP treatments topsoil and rooting zone without cover crops showed SOC stock loss of -4.7 and $-1.7 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ when compared to 2000 baseline NT systems for 0 - 15 and 0 - 75 cm soil layers (**Table 2**, column 6). The NT and MP topsoil did lose SOC stock (-0.5 and $-1.1 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$) during the 12 years of the experiment. The differences in SOC stocks between treatments in the tillage zones were attributed to the effects of management on erosion, disturbance, aeration, mineralization and residue incorporation.

By 2012, as a result of years of tillage and corn-soybean production, the SOC on a volume basis in the root zone was greater in NT system with and without cover vs. the CP and MP systems (**Table 2**, column 5). In the 0 to 15 cm layer, the NT had higher SOC stocks in the surface layer than CP and MP with and without cover crop treatments. This may have been due to the reduced decomposition rate, as a consequence of less soil residue contact, less aeration, and lower soil temperature in NT. The greater SOC stock in the NT system also was result of less soil erosion due to more residues on the soil surface **Table 1**, column 9. Loss of macro-aggregates and SOC could make the tilled soil more vulnerable to water erosion because of a reduction in its slaking resistance.

The CP with cover crops had more SOC stock in the surface layer than MP plots with cover crops in 2012 apparently due to better mixing of the residue into the surface layer of the MP treatment. SOC stocks in the whole soil changed 8%, -4% , and 14% in the NT, CP and MP systems without cover crops when compared with the 2000 pre-treatment baseline levels so there was SOC sequestration in the NT and MP root zones. With the addition of cover crops to all treatments, the SOC stock gains were 30%, 10%, and 18% respectively. Twelve years of cover crops were effective in restoring and sequestering SOC for all treatments.

The SOC stock gains from cover crops vs. no cover crop on NT, CP and MP was provided in **Table 2**, column 5. The NT, CP and MP systems had $+10.2$, $+5.9$ and $+5.5 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ more SOC stock in the 0 - 75 cm layer (root zone). This increase in SOC stock due to cover crops was not necessarily sequestered SOC since they were not validated (compared to the 2000 pre-treatment baseline SOC levels).

The cover crop treatment for each tillage system in **Table 2**, column 7 did offset the SOC stock loses from CP systems and resulted in gains for the NT, CP, and MP systems of $+1.21 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$, $+0.35 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$, and $+0.55 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$, respectively. The NT system with cover crops and without cover crops sequestered the most SOC when compared to the pre-treatment 2000 baseline SOC stock.

The difference between NT rate of change was $+0.88 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$ for cover crops, $+0.49 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$ for CP and $+0.10 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$ for MP.

The effects of 12 years of cover crops and sediment collection on the SOC stocks ($\text{Mg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$ or layer thickness $\times 100 \text{ m} \times 100 \text{ m}$) retained on the lower slope landscape position are shown in **Table 3**. The calculations assume that most or all of the SOC remains attached to the sediment and 50% to 70% of SOC rich sediment is retained in the border and filter strips. Any SOC dissolved in runoff water and not attached to sediment would be delivered to the stream. The mass of the SOC dissolved in the runoff water is not known. However, the mass of the dissolved SOC is probably rather small when compared to the amount of SOC attached to sediment particles. This dissolved SOC will most likely be quickly returned from the water to the atmosphere as either methane gas or carbon dioxide. The sediment rich in SOC is deposited in the border strips and filter strip located on the lower slope and between the plots and stream. The SOC stored on the lower slope includes both the SOC stored or retained on the cover crop plots and the sediment retained in the border and filter strips.

All treatments with and without cover crops did store and retain transported SOC (**Table 1**, column 10) in the filter and border strips at the rate of 0.09, 0.07, 0.26, 0.21, 0.35 and $0.28 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$ of SOC (**Table 3**). The SOC retained on the upland in the filter and border strips is not counted as sequestered SOC for the plot area since it was transported off the plot area but it does still retain the SOC and keeps most of it from getting into the water or atmosphere which reduces the CO_2 release to the atmosphere directly or via the water. This sediment rich in SOC was deposited on the uplands (50% to 70%) [17], in water (10 to 30%) or goes to the atmosphere (20%). The CP without cover crops did not sequester SOC ($-0.14 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$) in the root zone but the MP and NT did (**Table 3**). The amount of sediment rich in SOC retained on the lower slope was the greatest for MP without cover crops since it had the greatest soil loss ($30.1 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$) and sediment deposition into the filter and border strips. Cover crops reduced soil loss to $24.3 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$. It appears from the sediment build-up on the footslopes during the past 12 years that most of the SOC rich sediments are still being retained and not immediately going into the stream or atmosphere.

From 2001 to 2012, each tillage treatments (NT, CP and MP) with and without cover crops had statistically similar corn or soybean populations in all 12 years (**Table 4**). Rainfall data for the 2001-2012 growing seasons are shown in **Table 5**. From 2002 to 2012, cover crops had no affected soybean yields in 2002 and 2006 (CP was significantly greater without cover crops) and did not affect corn yields (**Table 6**). However, the annual average soybean and the annual average corn yields with and without cover crops for all 3 tillage treatments were not significantly different (**Table 6**).

4. Discussion

During the 12-year experiment, the SOC stocks in the tillage zone, the subsoil and for the entire root zone increased by 2012 under the NT, CP and MP systems with cover crops. By 2012, cover crop treatments raised SOC stocks of the tillage zone, subsoil, and rooting zone of all tillage treatments. Using the pre-treatment base

Table 3. Effects after twelve years of cover crops, tillage and sediment retention on SOC content ($\text{Mg}\cdot\text{C}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{layer}^{-1}$ or layer thickness $\times 100 \text{ m} \times 100 \text{ m}$) retained on the upland landscape (on the plots, in the sediment trapped in the border and filter strips or sod waterway).

Treatment [#]	Cover crops	Depth	Tillage effect with or without cover crop SOC sequestration or loss in root zone 2000-2012	SOC deposited as sediment and retained in border and filter and sod waterway (70% sediment retention)
	6 replications	cm	$\text{Mg}\cdot\text{C}/\text{ha}/\text{layer}/\text{yr}$	$\text{Mg}\cdot\text{C}/\text{ha}/\text{layer}/\text{yr}$
NT	Yes	0 - 75	1.21	0.07
NT	No	0 - 75	0.33	0.09
CP	Yes	0 - 75	0.35	0.21
CP	No	0 - 75	-0.14	0.26
MP	Yes	0 - 75	0.55	0.28
MP	No	0 - 75	0.45	0.35

[#]NT = no-tillage; CP = chisel plow; MP = moldboard plow.

Table 4. Soybean and corn population with and without cover crops at Dixon Springs, Illinois tillage plots.

Year	Cover	2002	2004	2006	2008	2010	2012	6-year	
Tillage	Crop								Average
Treatment		Soybean 1000 plants/ha							
NT	yes	298a*	253a	330a	270b	291a	166a	271a	
NT	no	293a	240a	320a	310a	297a	170a	272a	
CP	yes	380a	233a	400a	398a	284a	124a	304a	
CP	no	393a	228a	363a	390a	274a	128a	298a	
MP	yes	403a	245a	415a	333a	290a	96a	304a	
MP	no	420a	255a	388a	360a	286a	100a	302a	
Year	Cover	2001	2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	6-year	
Tillage	Crop								Average
Treatment		Corn 1000 plants/ha							
NT	yes	65a	61a	72a	66a	69a	76a	68a	
NT	no	63a	60a	71a	68a	65a	75a	67a	
CP	yes	58a	60a	76a	68a	66a	66a	65a	
CP	no	56a	61a	76a	68a	66a	69a	66a	
MP	yes	64a	61a	68a	66a	68a	61a	65a	
MP	no	68a	60a	69a	68a	64a	62a	65a	

*Values with and without cover crops in the same tillage treatment and year followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. #NT = no-tillage; CP = chisel plow; MP = moldboard plow.

Table 5. Rainfall data during the growing seasons from 2001-2012 at Dixon Springs in Southern Illinois.

Year	April (cm)	May (cm)	June (cm)	July (cm)	August (cm)	September Growing season (cm)	Growing season (cm)
2001	6	8.4	9.3	15.9	9.8	9.3	58.7
2002	19	24.7	3.1	5.1	7.2	18.8	77.9
2003	12.4	32.2	11.9	4	13.5	12.6	86.6
2004	6.5	13.5	5.4	8.1	4.8	0	38.3
2005	10	4.7	6.5	10.8	18.2	6.8	57
2006	7.5	10.2	7	21.3	7.7	21.3	75
2007	8.2	7.3	7.1	9.9	4.5	7.4	44.4
2008	11.6	6.6	5.8	11.6	7	3.2	45.8
2009	12.9	20	9.9	34.7	9.4	13.2	100.1
2010	8.8	12	10.5	6.8	9.2	8.5	55.8
2011	35.3	21.4	20.6	11.1	7.8	16.6	112.8
2012	3.3	1.6	3	9.4	6.7	13.2	37.2
2001-2012							
Average	11.8	13.6	8.4	12.4	8.6	10.1	64.9
30-year							
Average	12.3	13.9	10.3	10.2	8.3	9.4	64.4

line 2000 SOC stocks NT, CP and MP with cover crops sequestered SOC in root zone.

To determine whether SOC sequestration has occurred, it is critical that researchers establish the baseline SOC stock prior to any treatment applications including cover crops. NT, CP and MP treatments with cover crops did sequester and maintained more SOC stock above the 2000 pre-treatment baseline levels. The SOC gains from cover crops were equal to or more than any loss from any disturbance or mixing during planting, nitrogen injection in corn years and erosion during corn and soybean production. SOC sequestration occurred in root zone (0 - 75 cm) for all of the tillage treatments with cover crops which were compared with 2000 pre-treatment SOC stock levels (baseline) which validated the findings.

Table 6. Soybean and corn yields of tillage systems with and without cover crops at Dixon Springs, Illinois.

Year	Cover	2002	2004	2006	2008	2010	2012	6-year	
Tillage	Crop								Average
Treatment [#]		Soybean Mg/ha							
NT	yes	2.24a*	0a	2.90a	3.10a	2.86a	2.87a	2.23a	
NT	no	2.37a	0a	3.17a	2.84a	2.94a	2.65a	2.33a	
CP	yes	1.78a	0a	2.84a	2.90a	2.53a	2.16a	2.04a	
CP	no	2.07a	0a	3.37a	2.84a	2.79a	1.96a	2.17a	
MP	yes	1.98a	0a	3.30a	3.04a	2.75a	1.71a	2.13a	
MP	no	1.98a	0a	3.10a	3.04a	2.88a	1.82a	2.14a	
Year	Cover	2001	2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	6-year	
Tillage	Crop								Average
Treatment		Corn Mg/ha							
NT	yes	9.53a	6.86a	11.40a	6.20a	12.33a	6.10a	9.10a	
NT	no	9.93a	6.67a	11.44a	6.46a	13.13a	6.13a	9.41a	
CP	yes	9.30a	7.13a	11.63a	6.73a	12.64a	7.03a	9.54a	
CP	no	9.90a	7.33a	11.86a	6.80a	13.61a	6.85a	9.85a	
MP	yes	10.2a	8.45a	12.04a	6.73a	13.97a	6.49a	9.64a	
MP	no	10.4a	7.72a	11.42a	6.80a	14.03a	7.68b	9.76a	

*Values with and without cover crops in the same tillage treatment and year followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. [#]NT = no-tillage; CP = chisel plow; MP = moldboard plow.

The NT, CP and MP treatments with cover crops did sequester SOC in the root zone for all layers. Once SOC rich sediment leaves the plot the SOC is no longer considered sequestered SOC [9] [10] since SOC left the plot area and it is then deposited on the lower slope, enters the water or goes to the atmosphere. The SOC rich retained sediment from cover crop lots and deposited in the border and filter strips on the lower slope is transported, previously stored SOC and is no longer considered sequestered SOC after it leaves the plot area. However, the transported SOC can be retained as deposited sediments on the lower slopes and not initially returned to the atmosphere or water which could help in reducing CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere.

The 12-year average annual corn and soybean yields were statistically the same for NT, CP, and MP systems with and without cover crops. After 12 years of SOC stock increase in the 3 tillage treatments with cover crops, the crop yields were slightly lower for all tillage treatments. Twelve years of cover crops did sequester SOC but did not enhance crop yields.

Acknowledgements

Published with the approval of the Director of the Office of Research at the University of Illinois, Urbana, IL. Funded as part of Regional Research Project 367 and in cooperation with North Central Regional Project NC-1178 (Soil Carbon Sequestration).

References

- [1] Olson, K.R., Ebelhar, S.A. and Lang, J.M. (2010) Cover Crop Effects on Crop Yields and Organic Carbon Content. *Soil Science*, **175**, 89-98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SS.0b013e3181cf7959>
- [2] Chappell, A., Baldock, J. and Viscarra Rossel, R. (2013) Sampling Soil Organic Carbon to Detect Change over Time. Report to Grains Research and Development Corp. and Australian Department of Environment, Australia, 1-36.
- [3] Reeves, D.W. (1994) Cover Crops and Rotations. In: Hatfield, J.L. and Stewart, B.A., Eds., *Crops Residue Management. Advances in Soil Science*, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, 125-172.
- [4] Langdale, G.W., Blevins, R.L., Karlen, D., Thomas, D.K., Tyler, D.D. and Williams, J.R. (1991) Cover Crop Effects on Soil Erosion by Wind and Water. In: Hargrove, W.L., Ed., *Cover Crops for Clean Water*, SWCS, Ankeny, 15-21.
- [5] Dabney, S.M. (1998) Cover Crop Impacts on Watershed Hydrology. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, **53**, 207-213.
- [6] Lal, R. (1999) Soil Management and Restoration for C Sequestration to Mitigate the Accelerated Greenhouse Effect.

Progressive Environment Science, **1**, 307-326.

- [7] Soil Survey Staff (1999) Soil Taxonomy, a Basic System of Soil Classification for Making and Interpreting Soil Survey. U.S. Department of Agr. Handbook 436. 2nd Edition, Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 869 p.
- [8] Cochran, W.G. and Cox, G.M. (1957) Experimental Design. 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 615 p.
- [9] Olson, K.R. (2013) Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration, Storage, Retention and Loss in U.S. Croplands: Issues Paper for Protocol Development. *Geoderma*, **195-196**, 201-206. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.12.004>
- [10] Olson, K.R., Al-Kaisi, M.M, Lal, R. and Lowery, B. (2014) Experimental Consideration, Treatments, and Methods in Determining Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration Rates. *Soil Science Society America Journal*, **78**, 348-360. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2013.09.0412>
- [11] Hill, P.R., Manning, J.V. and Wilcox, J.R. (1989) Estimating Corn and Soybean Residue Cover. Agronomy Guide. Purdue University Cooperative Extension Service, West Lafayette.
- [12] Widman, N. (2004) RUSLE2-Instructions and Users Guide. USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, DC.
- [13] Walker, R.D. and Pope, R.A. (1983) Estimating Your Soil Erosion Losses with the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE). University of Illinois, Extension Service Circular 1220, Urbana, 19 p.
- [14] Soil Survey Staff (1984) Procedure for Collecting Soil Samples and Methods of Analysis for Soil Survey. Soil Survey Investigations. Rep.1. U.S. Gov. Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- [15] Soil Survey Staff (2004) Soil Survey Laboratory Methods Manual Soil Survey Investigations Report 42, Version 4.0. National Soil Survey Center, Lincoln, Nebraska.
- [16] SAS Institute, Inc. (2001) SAS/STAT Guide for Personal Computers. Version 6 Ed., SAS Institute Inc., Cary, 495.
- [17] Lal, R., Kimble, J.M., Follett, R.F. and Cole, C.V. (1998) The Potential of U.S. Cropland to Sequester Carbon and Mitigate the Greenhouse Effect. Sleeping Bear Press, Inc., Ann Arbor Press, Chelsea, 128 p.

Scientific Research Publishing (SCIRP) is one of the largest Open Access journal publishers. It is currently publishing more than 200 open access, online, peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. SCIRP serves the worldwide academic communities and contributes to the progress and application of science with its publication.

Other selected journals from SCIRP are listed as below. Submit your manuscript to us via either submit@scirp.org or [Online Submission Portal](#).

